## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF NEVADA

SEAN MAURICE DEAN,

Plaintiff

v.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

GARY D. WOODBURY,

Defendant

Case No.: 3:22-cv-00150-MMD-CSD

Report & Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge

Re: ECF Nos. 1, 1-1

This Report and Recommendation is made to the Honorable Miranda M. Du, Chief United States District Judge. The action was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and the Local Rules of Practice, LR 1B 1-4.

Plaintiff has filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) (ECF No. 1) and prose civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (ECF No. 1-1).

Plaintiff brings his complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. However, he only sues his former criminal defense attorney, Gray D. Woodbury, under the Sixth Amendment for ineffective assistance of counsel. Such a claim must be raised in a direct appeal, post-conviction or habeas proceeding, and not in an action under section 1983. *See Nelson v. Campbell*, 541 U.S. 637, 643 (2004); *Morgano v. Smith*, 879 P.2d 735 n. 3, 110 Nev. 1025 (1994); Nev. Rev. Stat. 34.810(1)(b), 34.720, *et. seq.* Where a state habeas remedy is available, a plaintiff cannot seek federal habeas relief until he has first sought and been denied habeas relief in state courts. *Preiser v. Rodriguez*, 411 U.S. 475, 477 (1973); 28 U.S.C. §§ 2241-66.

This action should be dismissed without prejudice and the IFP application should be denied as most so that Plaintiff can bring his claim utilizing the proper procedural channels.

## RECOMMENDATION

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that the District Judge enter an order DISMISSING this action WITHOUT PREJUDICE and DENYING AS MOOT Plaintiff's IFP application (ECF No. 1).

Plaintiff should be aware of the following:

- 1. That he may file, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), specific written objections to this Report and Recommendation within fourteen days of being served with a copy of the Report and Recommendation. These objections should be titled "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation" and should be accompanied by points and authorities for consideration by the district judge.
- 2. That this Report and Recommendation is not an appealable order and that any notice of 12 appeal pursuant to Rule 4(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure should not be filed until entry of judgment by the district court.

15 Dated: June 7, 2022

Craig S. Denney

United States Magistrate Judge

22 23

2

4

5

6

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21